Part (a) Prepare the prior period error note in the sepa	rate financial	Marks
statements of i-Seva for FY2019.		
Assume all amounts are material.		
i-Seva (Pty) Ltd		
Notes for the year ended 31 December 2019		
Prior period error		
On 1 January 2018 the company purchased a mainframe computer		
of R14 million, which included installation costs of R1 million. The		
costs on the computer equipment purchased were incorrectly ex		1
deduction was also claimed incorrectly for the cost and the insta	mation costs of	
this computer equipment in the 2018 current tax calculation. The financial statements for the year ended 31 December 20	10 have been	
restated to correct these errors. The effect of the restatement on		1
statements is summarised below. There is no effect in FY2019.	liiose iiiaiiciai	
Statements is summanised below. There is no effect in 1-12019.	2018	
Effect on the statement of profit or loss	R	
Decrease in other expenses (calc C1)	800 000	1/2
(Increase) in income tax expense		
[R3 920 000 (calc C2) + R224 000 (calc C3)]	(4 144 000)	1/2
(Decrease) in profit for the year	(3 344 000)	½P
· ·	,	
Effect on the statement of financial position		
Increase in property, plant and equipment (calc C4)	800 000	1/2
(Decrease) in deferred tax asset / (Increase) in deferred tax liability	(224 000)	1/2
(calc C5)	, ,	
(Increase) in current tax payable (calc C6)	(3 920 000)	1/2
(Decrease) in retained earnings	(3 344 000)	½P
Calculations (D4 200 200 / 5) depreciation (4)		
C1 R1 000 000 installation costs (1) $-$ (R1 000 000 / 5) depreciation (1) = R	800 000	2
C2 R14 000 000 x 28% = R3 920 000		1
C3 R800 000 x 28% = R224 000 (1C)		1C
or R13 000 000/5 x 4 = (R10 400 000 (½) – R11 200 000) x 28% (½)		1/ 0
C4 R1 000 000 – R200 000 = R800 000		½C
C5 R800 000 x 28% = R224 000 or R13 000 000/5 x 4 = (R10 400 000 – R11 200 000) x 28%		½C
C6 R14 000 000 x 28% = R3 920 000		½C
	Available	11
	Maximum	10
Communication skills – presentation		1
Total for part (a)		11

Part b(i) Prepare the pro forma equity accounting journal entries to account for i-Seva in the group financial statements of the ABM group for FY2019			Marks	
		Dr.	Cr.	
		R	R	
1.	Investment in associate (SFP)	2 189 600		½P
	Retained earnings (Equity) (calc C1)		1 262 100	½P
	Profit from associate (P/L) (calc C2)		927 500	1P
	Equity accounting earnings from associate until			
	31 December 2019			
2.	Retained earnings (Equity)	705 600		1P
	Deferred taxation (SFP)	274 400		½P
	Investment in associate (SFP)		980 000	½P
	Accounting for unrealised profit in opening balances (calc C3)			
3.	Investment in associate (SFP)	245 000		½P
<u>J.</u>	Cost of sales (P/L)	735 000		
	Revenue (P/L)	133 000	980 000	½P
	Accounting for unrealised profit realising in		900 000	
	current year (calc C4)			
	Income tax expense (P/L)	68 600		½P
	Deferred taxation (SFP)	00 000	68 600	½P
	Accounting for tax effect of unrealised profit		00 000	/21
	realising in current year (calc C4)			
	Journal entries could also be combined, in whice for journal entries were still awarded according		elevant marks	
Colo	for journal entries were still awarded according 2. Note regarding Journal 3 - IAS 28.28 indicates are ulting from 'upstream' and 'downstream' training and its associates are only recognised to the continuous interests. IAS 28 does not provide specific guitems that should be utilised to give effect to the alternatives were accepted in this regard. committee believes the specified journal to given that the scenario involved a downstreaction and the associate.	gly.\ ates that gainansactions betweent of unrelations in responsion requirement. The SAICA be more technicates	s and losses ween an entity ated investors ect of the line . Accordingly, Examinations pically correct	
	for journal entries were still awarded according 2. Note regarding Journal 3 - IAS 28.28 indicates resulting from 'upstream' and 'downstream' training and its associates are only recognised to the continuous interests. IAS 28 does not provide specific guitems that should be utilised to give effect to the alternatives were accepted in this regard. committee believes the specified journal to given that the scenario involved a downstreactions.	gly.\ ates that gains ansactions betweent of unrela idance in response requirement. The SAICA be more technology	s and losses ween an entity ated investors ect of the line . Accordingly, Examinations pically correct	
	for journal entries were still awarded according 2. Note regarding Journal 3 - IAS 28.28 indicates are under the second its associates are only recognised to the continuous interests. IAS 28 does not provide specific guitems that should be utilised to give effect to the alternatives were accepted in this regard. committee believes the specified journal to given that the scenario involved a downstreactive and the associate. Culations Gain on bargain purchase (no gain since considered equals fair value of NAV share) (Mark can also be awarded if gain of R500 included)	gly.\ ates that gainansactions betweent of unrelated in responsions requirement. The SAICA be more technology transaction	s and losses ween an entity ated investors ect of the line . Accordingly, Examinations pically correct	1
	for journal entries were still awarded according 2. Note regarding Journal 3 - IAS 28.28 indicates resulting from 'upstream' and 'downstream' trained its associates are only recognised to the continuous interests. IAS 28 does not provide specific guitems that should be utilised to give effect to the alternatives were accepted in this regard. committee believes the specified journal to given that the scenario involved a downstreaction in the associate. Culations Gain on bargain purchase (no gain since considered equals fair value of NAV share)	gly.\ ates that gainansactions betweent of unrelated in responsions requirement. The SAICA be more technology transaction	s and losses ween an entity ated investors ect of the line . Accordingly, Examinations pically correct	1 2
	for journal entries were still awarded according 2. Note regarding Journal 3 - IAS 28.28 indicates resulting from 'upstream' and 'downstream' trained its associates are only recognised to the continuous interests. IAS 28 does not provide specific guitems that should be utilised to give effect to the alternatives were accepted in this regard. committee believes the specified journal to given that the scenario involved a downstreate entity and the associate. Culations Gain on bargain purchase (no gain since considerequals fair value of NAV share) (Mark can also be awarded if gain of R500 include Retained earnings beginning of the year	gly.\ ates that gainansactions betweent of unrelated in responsions requirement. The SAICA be more technology transaction	s and losses ween an entity ated investors ect of the line Accordingly, Examinations sically correct between the	-
	for journal entries were still awarded according 2. Note regarding Journal 3 - IAS 28.28 indicates are ulting from 'upstream' and 'downstream' training and its associates are only recognised to the continuous interests. IAS 28 does not provide specific guitems that should be utilised to give effect to the alternatives were accepted in this regard. committee believes the specified journal to given that the scenario involved a downstreate entity and the associate. Culations Gain on bargain purchase (no gain since considered equals fair value of NAV share) (Mark can also be awarded if gain of R500 includered Retained earnings beginning of the year [(R23 450 000 - R16 500 000) (1) x 35% (1)] Correction of prior period errors (part (a))	gly.\ ates that gainansactions betweent of unrelated in responsions requirement. The SAICA be more technology transaction	s and losses ween an entity ated investors ect of the line. Accordingly, Examinations sically correct between the	2
Cald C1	for journal entries were still awarded according 2. Note regarding Journal 3 - IAS 28.28 indicates are upstream, and 'downstream' training from 'upstream' and 'downstream' training its associates are only recognised to the content interests. IAS 28 does not provide specific guitems that should be utilised to give effect to the alternatives were accepted in this regard. committee believes the specified journal to given that the scenario involved a downstreate entity and the associate. Culations Gain on bargain purchase (no gain since considered equals fair value of NAV share) (Mark can also be awarded if gain of R500 includered Retained earnings beginning of the year [(R23 450 000 - R16 500 000) (1) x 35% (1)] Correction of prior period errors (part (a)) [R3 344 000 (1C) x 35% (1)]	gly.\ ates that gainansactions betweent of unrelated in responsions requirement. The SAICA be more technology transaction	s and losses ween an entity ated investors ect of the line Accordingly, Examinations ically correct between the 2 432 500 (1 170 400)	2
C1	for journal entries were still awarded according 2. Note regarding Journal 3 - IAS 28.28 indicates are only recognised to the continuous interests. IAS 28 does not provide specific guitems that should be utilised to give effect to the alternatives were accepted in this regard. committee believes the specified journal to given that the scenario involved a downstreatentity and the associate. Culations Gain on bargain purchase (no gain since considerequals fair value of NAV share) (Mark can also be awarded if gain of R500 included Retained earnings beginning of the year [(R23 450 000 – R16 500 000) (1) x 35% (1)] Correction of prior period errors (part (a)) [R3 344 000 (1C) x 35% (1)] Total opening retained earnings	gly.\ ates that gainansactions betweent of unrelated in responsions requirement. The SAICA be more technology transaction	s and losses ween an entity ated investors ect of the line. Accordingly, Examinations sically correct between the 2 432 500 (1 170 400) 1 262 100	2

C3	Unrealised profit [R14 000 000 (1) x 25% (1) x 35% (1)]	1 225 000	3
	Realised 2018 (R1 225 000 / 5)	(245 000)	1C
	Investment in associate	980 000	
	Deferred tax @ 28%	(274 400)	1C
	Retained earnings (opening balance)	705 600	
C4	Sales (R245 000 / 25%)	980 000	1/2
	Cost of sales (R980 000 x 75%)	(735 000)	1/2
	Unrealised profit realising 2019 (R1 225 000 / 5)	245 000	
	Income tax expense @ 28%	(68 600)	1C
	Unrealised profit realising 2019 (after tax)	176 400	
Available		22	
Maximum		22	
Total for part (b)(i)		22	

Part (b)(ii) Present the balance of the investment in the associate in the group statement of financial position of the ABM group for FY2019. Ignore comparatives.		Marks
ABM Ltd Group		
Extract from consolidated statement of financial po-	sition	
as at 31 December 2019		
	2019	
Assets	R	
Non-current		
Investment in associate	19 804 600	1P
Calculation		
Investment at cost	18 350 000	1
Post-acquisition retained earnings (part b(i) journal 1)	2 189 600	1P
Intragroup transactions (R980 000 part b(i) journal 2 - R245 000 part b(i) journal 3)	(735 000)	1P
	19 804 600	
	Available	4
Maximum		3
Total for part (b)(ii)		3
Communication skills – presentation		1
Total for part (b)		26

Part	(c) Discuss the control deficiencies in the period-end financial reporting process described in the scenario.	Marks
1	System period-end close	
1.1	Period-end closing is not performed for PAS which means that transactions could still be processed within the 15 day period and included in the exported trial balance, which could result in cut-off errors, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
1.2	PAS does not prevent Mr Dale from exporting trial balances even if all transactions for the year have not yet been recorded, which could result in the exported trial balances being incomplete, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
1.3	There is no period-end close procedure checklist which is reviewed / no overall review of the period-end close process, which could result in the exported trial balances being incomplete / inaccurate, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
1.4	An excel spreadsheet is used to export trial balances from PAS, which could result in version control / integration errors, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
2	Transfer to the service provider	
2.1	The exported trial balances are not reconciled from the PAS system, which could result in the exported trial balances sent to AfsPack being inaccurate / incomplete, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
2.2	The exported trial balances are not read-only password protected, which could result in AfsPack being able to edit the trial balances before uploading into the RS system, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
2.3	There is no back up of the exported trial balances by Mr Dale before it is sent to AfsPack, which could result in a loss of data.	1
2.4	The exported trial balances are not encrypted / sent using e-mail / not sent over a secure line to AfsPack, which could result in the trial balances being susceptible to unauthorised access and changes, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
2.5	There is no reconciliation from the PAS system to the RS system / no data integrity checks are performed after the upload was performed by AfsPack, which could result in incomplete / inaccurate trial balances in the RS system, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
2.6	There is no review of the classification of trial balance accounts provided to AfsPack by Mr Dale, which could result in the incorrect classification of an account, resulting in incorrect presentation in the financial statements.	1
2.7	There is no review of the schedule of intercompany accounts prepared by Mr Dale and provided to AfsPack, which could result in the schedule being incomplete / incorrect, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
2.8	There is no review of the intercompany eliminations performed by AfsPack, which could result in the incomplete / incorrect elimination of intercompany accounts, resulting in a material misstatement of the financial statements.	1
2.9	There are inadequate controls in place regarding the editing of trial balances as AfsPack is able to edit the second trial balance, which could result in unauthorised changes to the second trial balance, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
3	Consolidation	
3.1	There is a lack of segregation of duties. Mr Dale has too many responsibilities and can change the financial reports without review, which could result in fraudulent financial reporting.	1

TOTAL FOR QUESTION 1		53
	Total for part (c)	16
	Communication skills – appropriate style	1
	Maximum Maximum	15
	Available	26
5.4	There is no formal process for the identification of subsequent events, which could result in the annual financial statements being incomplete.	1
5.3	There is no review of the financial statements, including adequacy of disclosures, which could result in the annual financial statements not being fairly presented.	1
5.2	The associate note is generated automatically, which could result in inaccurate disclosure / boilerplate disclosure / disclosure that is not useful to the users of the financial statements.	1
5.1	The consolidated annual financial statements are prepared using a standard template, which may not accommodate unique transactions and events, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
5	Annual financial statements	
4.2	There is no review of the manual equity accounting spreadsheet / equity accounting journals prepared by Mr Dale, which could result in the equity accounting being incomplete / inaccurate / invalid, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
4.1	The trial balance from i-Seva is not agreed to the equity accounting spreadsheet, which could result in the incorrect trial balance being used, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
4	Equity accounting (manually prepared equity accounting Excel spreadsheet)	
3.7	There is no reconciliation of the original trial balance and the final trial balance after processing of adjustments, which could result in the final trial balance being incomplete / inaccurate, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
3.6	The consolidation trial balance is accessed by Mr Dale online, with no indication of usernames and passwords being in place, which could result in unauthorised access and changes to the consolidation trial balance, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
3.5	There is no review of the accuracy, validity and completeness of intercompany adjustments, which means that intercompany adjustments may be incomplete and inaccurate.	1
3.4	There is no comparison between the information in the consolidation worksheet and source documents, which could result in Mr Dale processing invalid consolidation journal entries, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
3.3	There is no review of the consolidation worksheet / consolidation journal entries prepared by Mr Dale, which could result in the consolidation adjustments being incomplete / inaccurate / invalid, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1
3.2	Mr Dale processes manual consolidation journal entries, which could result in the consolidation journal entries being incomplete / inaccurate, resulting in a material misstatement in the financial statements.	1