Par	t (c) Evaluate and comment on the PE valuation performed by the financial manager in determining the value of UBA.	Morte		
		Marks		
	Do not re-perform the calculations or the PE valuation.			
1	The perspective of the valuation should be considered, either performed on UBA only, because the purpose of the valuation was to determine whether the purchase price offered to the former shareholders reflected the value of their shareholding, or to determine whether the valuation is reasonable considering the potential synergies, or from the sellers perspective.	1		
2	Synergies should not be included if calculating a minimum value to be accepted by shareholders of the selling company. However, if shareholders in the target are aware of the synergies, they may insist on a share of the synergy being allocated to them, therefore include. The valuation should include only earnings in the form of maintainable income/expenses in	1		
	the profit figure.	1		
3	In determining the value of the shares from the viewpoint of the former shareholders, an adjustment for control should be taken into account, as the PE valuation is a minority valuation.	1		
	There is no indication of how the synergistic earnings or the premium for control were determined . This needs to be justified.	1		
4	The PE ratio of Oneity should not have been used as the nature of business is different to that of UBA.	1		
	The PE ratio of a similar listed company should have been used, adjusted for specific risks and the fact that UBA's shares have limited transferability and	1		
	marketability.	1		
5	Synergies have been double counted . First by including "After tax synergies expected" on the maintainable income, and then by adding the control premium at the end . This should only be included once.	1		
	g a same g	1		
6	The profit on the sale of the asset (warehouse), which is a non-recurring item , should have been deducted from the earnings figure (after tax), (and/or Patent R1.9m).	1		
7	The annual rental of the warehouse should be added back as this will not be incurred in the future.	1		
	A present value calculation of the remaining one year's payments (2019) may be considered.	1		
8	The contingent liability should be considered as an adjustment to the calculated value as a reduction.	1		
9	The value of the shareholder loan should not be deducted from the value in the end. The loan is regarded as long term as it has no specific date of repayment.	1		
	If the interest is already included in the earnings (which it should be), then the loan has already been accounted for, and deducting it again would be double counting. The loan can be considered to be quasi equity due to its terms.	1		
10	The interest on the shareholder loan should also not be added back to the earnings figure. It is part of the earnings figure to be multiplied with the PE ratio (adjustments could have been made to the PE ratio for a difference in capital structure).	1		
11	The EBITDA multiple could have been considered as UBA is not a capital-intensive business. Using EBITDA would also avoid comparables having a different asset intensity , different	1		
	capital structure, or different depreciation/amortisation rates and policies from the valuation target.	1		
12	The PE valuation is still used widely as a sanity check , quick and easy to do. The PE ratio is less reliable as it is based on accounting earnings, and therefore use should			
	be cautioned. Criticism of the PE ratio as being empirically disproved, where low PE outperform, and high	1		
	PE underperform.	1		
	Available	24		
	Maximum Communication skills appropriate style	11 1		
	Communication skills – appropriate style Total for part (c)	12		

of FY2018 as if the acquisition of Oneity had not taken place. Provide the key assumptions you used in performing your valuation.										
Free cash	tiows				FY2019 R'000	FY2020 R'000	FY2021 R'000			
Net moveme	ent in cash				11 729	(6 669)	4 543			
Adjustments					11723	(0 000)	1010			
		ıs cash	(1 225)	(315)	(315)	1				
Interest income on surplus cash Finance cost					1 655	1 655	1 655	1		
	ne (valued se	eparately)	(785)	(855)	(932)	1				
	iterest and in		99	(136)	(114)	2C				
	to shareholde		1 413	0	1 625	1				
	m sale of fixe		t added bad	ck as it is			. 020			
	al operating	•	0	0	0	1				
	n surplus cas									
FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021]						
R'000	R'000	R'000	R'000	-						
700	1 225	315	315	-						
10 000	17500	4 500	4 500	-	(7 500)	13 000	0	20		
Free cash fl	Į.	1 000	1 000		5 386	6 680	6 462	10		
	sh flows shou	ıld he that c	of LIBA only	without any	0 000	0 000	0 402	- 10		
	s a minimum		•	•				1		
included in EBIT (the depreciation and profit on the sale of the fixed asset would already have been removed to calculate the cash flows supplied). Continuing value ((6 462 + 2 000) x 1,05) / (0,1293 - 0,05) (Or forecast further year) 1 For including terminal value, 1 for growing amount (5 or 7% as this is penalized next), 1 for adding R2,000 once off or additional year, 1 for WACC. Use growth rate of 5% as this is the growth pertaining to UBA Inclusion of lease income, assuming that the lease with Oneity is renewed or that building will now be leased to another party as UBA has always leased out the building							112 044	30		
Alternatively	y, still not part	t of normal						•		
						R'000				
	ash flows for	2019 to 202	21 at 12,93	%			14 494	10		
Use WACC							77 797			
PV of continuing value at WACC								10		
_ess: Marke	t value of del	bt					(15 000)	1		
Add: Surplus cash										
	nent property		6 550	1						
/alue of 100	0% of equity						93 841			
							Available	22		
							Maximum	21		
				Cor	mmunication		opriate style for part (d)	2		