Part	should take into account when deciding whether to replace 200	Marks				
1	employees with robots in 2024 The Board should consider the impact not only on the 200 employees, but					
1	also their extended family members (6 to 10 people per worker) – who will be losing an average income per employee of +/-R100 000 per annum.	1				
2	Due to the large number of people affected by this proposal, the Board should consider the negative impact the decision would have on the socio- economic state of the community in which the workers live.	1				
3	Since 200 of the 300 workers will be replaced, it will most probably adversely affect the morale of the remaining 100 staff , leading to poor job performance and/or loss of competent staff who may fear losing their jobs in the future.					
4	The board should consider potential reputational damage to the company from public outcry when retrenching staff – customers may decide to boycott the company and rather support competitors.	1				
5	The trade unions representing workers would most probably object to the replacement and institutes strikes / protest action.	1				
6	The possible strikes / protest action may lead to production downtime and/or property damage, increasing Eurofix's going concern problems.	1				
7	The board should consider the legal implications of retrenching employees – there could be disgruntled employees who will take Eurofix to CCMA .	1				
8	At present the board is considering 2 options – replacing 200 employees with robots or liquidating the company. By replacing the 200 employees with robots, 100 jobs can still be saved .	1				
9	By not retrenching staff in favour of robots, the saving of more than R4 million per annum in expenses will not be realised and the company may find it even more difficult to survive.	1				
10	The potential cost of retrenchment packages also needs to be taken into account in the decision. Can the company afford such a once-of cash outflow, considering it already has cashflow problems?	1				
11	The wage cost saving does not consider the time taken to set up robots / customization to Eurofix's manufacturing / cost of installation / training of employees to operate robots / appointment of new employees to operate robots.	1				
12	What are the maintenance / insurance costs of robots? Is this part of lease contract?	1				
13	Consider that robots are subject to increased risks of manipulation / algorithim bias, theft and cyber-attacks, thus an increase in costs to secure the robots and to ensure the adequate functioning of the robots.	1				
14	The board should consider the possibility of breakdowns / malfunction which can result in production downtime. On the other hand, humans	1				
	become tired and need holidays, robots do not.	1				
15	The robots will need to keep up with technology, therefore the costs of replacing or upgrading robots when technology changes should be considered, which may be more expensive annually than any training that was offered to staff.	1				
16	The robots will most likely work with electricity – would the cost of electricity not wipe out the cost saving?	1				
17	What happens during load shedding – necessary to invest in generators / electricity back-up devices in order to continue during load shedding / effect on production?	1				
18	Robots are not owned, and the lease may be cancelled in future, leaving Eurofix unable to operate as normal / reliance on third parties .	1				

SUGGESTED SOLUTION

19	The directors should also consider the positive effect the implementation of the robots would have on the CO ₂ emissions of the group.	1	
20	 The reduction in CO₂ emissions would lead to – improvement in the environment – cleaner air and healthier community 	1	
	 improvement in the reputation of the company as being concerned about the environment. 	1	
21	This decision will also result in the reduction of wastage - which will		
	reduce costs and losses of the company.	1	
	Available	23	
	Maximum	8	
Communication skills – appropriate style			
	Total for part (d)	9	

	 t (e) Describe the substantive procedures that you expect Audibi Inc. to perform on the 2022 forecast information and relevant applicable notes, to obtain audit evidence about Eurofix's ability to continue as a going concern for the 12 months after the end of FY2021. Include information relating to the subordination agreements in your answer. Assume that all amounts are material. Do not provide substantive procedures to audit the FY2021 actual results. 	Marks
1	Inspect the minutes of the board / audit committee / management meeting where the forecast (including action plans like the subordination agreement) was presented to ensure that is was approved and accepted.	1
2	By enquiry from the accountant / management, obtain an understanding of the process followed to prepare the forecast as well as the process to approve it.	1
3	Evaluate the competence and integrity of the accountant who prepared the forecast by:	
	 inspecting his/her CV; confirming his/her qualification(s); and considering his/her relevant experience in preparing such information; comparing the forecasts prepared by the accountant in prior years to 	1
	the actual results for those years to evaluate the effectiveness / reliability of the forecast prepared by the accountant.	1
4	Recalculate the calculations in the forecast OR confirm that all Excel calculations/formulae in the spreadsheet are indeed correct (electronically through CAATs).	1
5	Compare the line items of the previous forecast to the current year forecast / on a month-to-month basis to determine whether there are any material omissions / duplications / inconsistencies of items from the forecast (analytical procedures).	1
6	Compare the FY2021 results in the management report that were used in the preparation of the forecast with the actual audited figures to confirm that amounts used for the basis of the assumptions were accurate.	1
7	Compare the forecast (8% increase in sales and other items) for the period July to (at least) October 2021 with the actual income and expenditures for the same period to determine the reliability of estimates made in the forecast.	1
8	 Perform the following on the 8% expected sales increase assumption for FY2021: Consult a reliable outside source, e.g. an economic expert, to 	
	determine whether the 8% is reliable / inspect the international trend information used by management.	1
	 Compare the 8% assumption with the growth rate of the previous two years to confirm reasonability of the estimated growth in sales. 	1
	 Through discussion with management, question the reason why they believe Covid-19 did not adversely affect operations and inspect supporting corroborative information to verify. 	1
9	 Perform the following in respect of the other items included in the forecast: Perform a month-to-month analysis of cost of sales to sales (gross profit 	
	 percentage) and follow up on any variances. Recalculate depreciation and finance charges (confirm that the 	1
	finance cost for the subordination agreement has been excluded).	1

	Inspect the rental contract between Eurofix and Europrop to determine	
	if the costs are accurate and that the relevant inflation has been included.	1
	Review the AFS or financial results of the financial asset for accuracy	
	and probability of the dividend (investment income);	1
	• Enquire of management as to the plausibility of not having asset	
	disposals taking place during the forecast period and agree with the	
	capital expenditure forecast / Obtain the capital expenditure forecast	1
	(including retail stores to be opened/closed/improvements) and confirm	
	that this ties into the overall forecast budget and that the relevant	
	assumptions have been included.).	
10	Perform the following in respect of the subordination agreements:	
_	Obtain the subordination agreements for each of the shareholders who	
	have subordinated their loans and do the following:	
	 Inspect that it is a valid binding legal document which has been 	
	signed by the applicable shareholder;	1
	 Inspect the date to confirm that the subordination agreements are 	•
	already in place for FY2022;	1
		ſ
	 If necessary, consult a legal expert to determine the validity of the subordination agreements; 	1
		I
		1
	subordination agreement will improve the solvency and liquidity of	I
	the company; Consider whether the backrenking creditor is financially of sufficient	
	 Consider whether the backranking creditor is financially of sufficient 	4
	substance to be in a position / has the intention to subordinate the	1
	debt (i.e. that that creditor is not itself not facing liquidation), by	
	inspecting its latest annual financial statements / management	
	reports (if available);	4
	• Confirm details of the subordination agreements directly with the	1
	respective of shareholders (direct confirmation).	
11	Obtain the cash flow forecast from management indicating the expected	
	cash flows, supporting calculations and assumptions used in the forecast.	1
	Inspect for the following:	
	All revenue and expenses are translated into cash flows (movement	
	in debtors, creditors and inventory) taking assumptions into account and	1
	the relevant time in the year these are receivable / payable);	
	 Non-cash flow items like depreciation have been excluded; 	1
	• Inspect the cash flow budget month-on-month (if available) / for the year	
	to ensure that the net cash flow is more than the R15 million (overdraft	1
	facility available for the next 2 years).	
12	Enquire from the directors and inspect board minutes after the financial	
	reporting date, to determine whether any additional information that should	
	be taken into consideration has become available since the forecast was	1
	prepared / support the going concern forecast.	
13	Obtain a signed management representation letter regarding the validity	
	and completeness of the assumptions and estimates used in the forecast /	
	management's plans for future actions, the feasibility thereof and the ability	1
	to continue as a going concern.	
14	With permission of Eurofix, contact their lawyers / legal counsel and	
14		1
	enquire of any possible litigation and claims that should be included in the	1
15	forecast.	
15	With permission from the client, enquire from bankers and financiers	4
	regarding terms, conditions and credit facilities available / Request a formal	1
1	written confirmation of this from the bank and financiers.	

SUGGESTED SOLUTION

16	For any unusual fluctuations in any procedure enquire to the relevant parties responsible / inspect corroborative supporting information to verify.	1
	Available	31
	Maximum	14
	Communication skill – clarity of expression	1
	Total for part (e)	15

 Part (f) Prepare the additional journal entries to account for the investment property and the lease with Eurotile in the separate accounting records of Europrop for FY2021. Assume that the appropriate pre-tax discount rate is 15%. 						Marks	
			-		red taxation.	13%.	
• lg	gnore jou	rnal entries	relating to	curren			
			the neares				
			al narration		most the de	finition of on	
Crux: As the 'investment probuilding held the	roperty' in	terms of IA	AS 40 Inves	stment F	Property, bein	g land and a	
group of comp							
Europrop wi investment p							
Measuremen		i ine with t	ne guidanc	e provi		IS Fall Value	
Calculation o		e of invest	ment prope	erty (as a	at 30 June 20	21):	
		FY2022	FY2023	FY202			
Lease income							1
$[(2 760 000 \times 10)]$	00/115)	2 406 000	2 595 840	2 600	674 2 807 60	61 2 919 967	
(1/2) x 1,04 (1/2)] Operating cos	sts	2 490 000	2 333 040	2 099	074 2 007 00	2 9 19 907	1
[(1 104 000 x 10							
(1⁄2) x 1,04 (1⁄2)]						64) (1 167 987)	
Net lease inco		1 497 600	1 557 504	1 619	805 1 684 59	97 1 751 980	40
Applying inflation	-Y2026						1P
Proceeds on s [5 750 000 (1/2)							1
100/115 (1/2)	^					5 000 000	I
Net cash inflo						6 751 980	
Discount rate:	15%						1
NPV at 15%						7 865 107	1P
Calculation of	f fair valu	o adjustmo	nt for EV20	21.		R	
Fair value at 3				<u> </u>		к 7 865 107	
Accrued lease				89 (1/2))		(1 203 696)	1
Adjusted fair v			· · · ·			6 661 411	
Fair value at 3		20 (given)				6 059 393	1/2
Fair value adju	istment					602 018	
Journal entri	00						
	69				Dr	Cr	
					R	R	
30/06/2021 Investme		ent property (SoFP)			602 018		1P
		alue adjustment (P/L)				602 018	
	•	tion of fair value adjustment					
30/06/2021		<i>ry building</i> tax expense (P/L)			278 517		
30/00/2021		ed tax (SoF			210011	278 517	1P
-		ion of deferr				210011	

	Carrying amount	Tax base	Temporary difference	Deferred tax		
	R	R	R	R		
Building						
- Cost @ 28%	(1/2) 4 500 000	^{W1} 2 250 000	2 250 000	(1/2) 630 000	1	
- Fair value @ 22,4%*	^{w2} 1 495 270	(1⁄2) -	1 495 270	(1/2) 334 940	1	
Land @ 22,4%	^{w3} 666 141	(1⁄2) 500 000	166 141	(1⁄2) 37 216	1	
Lease accrual @ 28%	(½C) 1 203 696	(1/2) -	1 203 696		1½	
Total liability				1 339 191		
3						
Workings:						
W1: R4 500 000 – (R4 500 000 x 5% x 10 years) = R2 250 000						
W2: (R6 661 411 (C) x 90%) – R4 500 000 = R1 495 270						
W3: R6 661 411 (C) x 10% = R666 141						
Movement in deferr	ed tax FY2021			R		
Balance at 30 June 2021 (calculated above) 1 339 191						
Balance at 30 June 2020 (given) 1 060 674						
Movement for FY202	21			278 517		
				Available	17½	
				Maximum	17 /2	
				Maximulli	10	

 Part (g) Disclose all relevant information relating to the fair value of the investment property leased to Eurotile as it would appear in the notes to the annual financial statements of Europrop for FY2021, to comply with the requirements of IFRS 13 <i>Fair Value Measurement</i> in respect of non-financial assets. Comparative information and accounting policy notes are not required. Where the available information is insufficient, provide examples and/or details of information that you would include to complete the required disclosure in terms of IFRS 13. 					Marks
Notes to the annua				Ltd for the	
22. Fair value measure	al reporting pe	riod ended 30	June 2021		
Fair value measureme		of the reportiv	na period us	ina significant	
unobservable inputs (-	ig period us	sing significant	1
Description: Recurring					
Investment properties: (R6 661 411	1P
				R6 661 411	
Fair value measureme	ents using signi	ificant unobse	ervable inpu		
reconciliation Par93(e			1	. /	
Investment properties	·			South Africa	
Opening balance				R6 059 393	1P
Total gains or losses for	r the period				
Included in profit or lo	oss (other incom	e) (1)		R602 018	1P
Closing balance				R6 661 411	
Change in unrealised ga					
profit or loss for assets				R602 018	1P
Quantitative informati			ments using	l significant	
unobservable inputs (Description	ievei 3) Par93(0	Valuation	Unobserv-	Bongo	
Description	Fair value at 30/06/2021	technique (1)	able input	J	2
Investment properties: Commercial: South Africa	R6 661 411	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	15%	
1 Information abou					
including the chai					
account in the de follows:	elemination of I	elevant inputs	S (IFRS 13.9	z), coula de as	
	operty being mo	asured at fairs	value is a fact	ory building that	
1.1 The investment property being measured at fair value is a factory building that is located in Vereeniging, Gauteng.					1
1.2 The relevant inputs into the measurement of the fair value of the factory					
building are highly susceptible to qualitative factors such as violent protests					
in the area, environmental impact of production processes, etc. (Any other					1
characteristic(s) of the factory building being measured, that will help users of					
the financial statements evaluate the quantitative information disclosed.)					
2 A <u>description of the valuation processes used</u> (par. 93(g)) by Europrop, for					
example:2.1 The entity's valuation policies and procedures are determined by the senior					
2.1 The entity's valua financial valuation Directors reporting	ns committee, w	hich is a sub	-committee		1

2.2	The entity obtains evidence from third parties on a semi-annual basis to support the reasonability of the fair value estimate.	1			
2.3	The fair value of the factory building is assessed on a quarterly basis for material increases or decreases based on significant events occurring in the Vereeniging area that may impact the fair value of the building, such as strikes and protests.	1			
2.4	The entity carefully monitors the discount rate, which is an unobservable input, by recalculating it on a quarterly basis, based on the most recent information available to the entity.	1			
3	A <u>narrative description of the sensitivity</u> of the fair value measurement to changes in significant unobservable inputs, for example (par. 93(h))				
3.1	The most significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the entity's investment property is the discount rate. Significant increases (decreases) in the discount rate, in isolation, would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement of investment property.	1			
	Available	14			
	Maximum				
	Communication skill – presentation				
	Total for part (g)				
TOT	AL FOR PART II	48			