Use earnings before interest and taxation (EBIT) as the starting point in the free cash flow valuation Using the forecast cash flows, the following value can be calculated:	Part (a) Perform a free cash flow to firm valuation of Eurofix to estimate the value of Eurotile's 75% shareholding in the subsidiary				Mark		
Using the forecast cash flows, the following value can be calculated: FY2021 R'000 R'0						S	
FY2021 FY2022 FY2023 FY2025 FY2025 FY2025 FY2025 FY2026 FY2026 FY2026 FY2027 F							
R'000 R'000 R'000 R'000 R'000 R'000 R'000	Osing the forecast cash					FY2025	
Add back IFRS 16 depreciation							
depreciation	EBIT		10 340	11 513	12 795		1
Deduct market-related rental adjusted for inflation	Add back IFRS 16						
rental adjusted for inflation (1 872) (1 946) (2 024) 2 2			1 400	1 400	1 400		1
inflation (1 872) (1 946) (2 024) 2 Savings with introduction of robots (20-14) (after VAT) Award one mark if argued that company is valued without robot project since it is still being deliberated (savings not included) 6 000 2 Tax on EBIT: 28% (Markers: Award the mark if the student debates whether tax will be payable given that the company has assessed losses) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) 1C EBIT after tax 7 105 7 896 13 083 1C Changes in working capital [C2] 5 359 (899) (972) Subtotal (2024 grow at 5,04% [1]) 12 464 6 997 12 111 12 721 1C Add back depreciation (plant and equipment) 12 856 12 732 14 843 15 718 1 Capital expenditure (2000) (5000) (12 500) (13 130) 1 Free cash flows 23 050 14 729 14 454 15 309 1C WACC: 18% 10 1895 (15 309) 18 - 5,04]% 1 Power 1 to 3) 46 806 17 895 18 - 5,04]% 1 Less: Value of the firm <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
Savings with introduction of robots (20-14) (after VAT) Award one mark if argued that company is valued without robot project since it is still being deliberated (savings not included) Tax on EBIT: 28% (Markers: Award the mark if the student debates whether tax will be payable given that the company has assessed losses) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) (3 071) (5 088) (4 07) (5 088) (5 088) (972) (5 089) (972) (5 080) (972) (6 080) (972) (7 0 080) (972) (7 0 080) (972) (8 090) (972) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (9 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 0 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 0 0 090) (1 0 090) (1 0 0 0 0 090) (1 0 0 0 0 090) (1 0 0 0 0 090) (1 0 0 0 0 0 090) (1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 090) (1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	_		(4.070)	(4.040)	(0.004)		0
introduction of robots (20-14) (after VAT) Award one mark if argued that company is valued without robot project since it is still being deliberated (savings not included) Tax on EBIT: 28% (Markers: Award the mark if the student debates whether tax will be payable given that the company has assessed losses) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) 1C EBIT after tax 7 105 7 896 13 083 Changes in working capital [C2] 5 359 (899) (972) Subtotal (2024 grow at 5,04% [1]) 12 464 6 997 12 111 12 721 1C Add back depreciation (plant and equipment) 12 856 12 732 14 843 15 718 Capital expenditure (2000) (5000) (12 500) (13 130) 1 Free cash flows 23 050 14 729 14 454 15 309 1C WACC: 18% Growth rate: 5,04% [1],04x1,01)-1] NPV (year 1 to 3) 46 806 1Perminal value 71 895 (15 309) [18 - 5,04]% Disc 3yrs Value of the firm 118 701 Less: Value of long-term debt (9 380 + 14 340) (23 720) Plus: Value of non-			(1872)	(1 946)	(2 024)		2
(20-14) (after VAT) Award one mark if argued that company is valued without robot project since it is still being deliberated (savings not included) 9 868 10 967 18 171							
Award one mark if argued that company is valued without robot project since it is still being deliberated (savings not included) Tax on EBIT: 28% (Markers: Award the mark if the student debates whether tax will be payable given that the company has assessed losses) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) EBIT after tax 7 105 7 896 13 083 Changes in working capital [C2] 5 359 (899) (972) Subtotal (2024 grow at 5,04% [1]) Add back depreciation (plant and equipment) Capital expenditure (2000) (5000) (12 500) (13 130) 1 Free cash flows WACC: 18% (3 071) (5 088) 1C TO STAN (3 071) (5 08) 1C TO STAN (5 08) 1							
argued that company is valued without robot project since it is still being deliberated (savings not included)							
Project since it is still being deliberated (savings not included) 9 868 10 967 18 171							
Deing deliberated (savings not included) 9 868 10 967 18 171							
Savings not included 9 868 10 967 18 171							
Tax on EBIT: 28% (Markers: Award the mark if the student debates whether tax will be payable given that the company has assessed losses) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) 1C EBIT after tax 7 105 7 896 13 083 Changes in working capital [C2] 5 359 (899) (972) Subtotal (2024 grow at 5,04% [1]) 12 464 6 997 12 111 12 721 1C Add back depreciation (plant and equipment) 12 856 12 732 14 843 15 718 11 12 721 11 12 7					6,000		2
Tax on EBIT: 28% (Markers: Award the mark if the student debates whether tax will be payable given that the company has assessed losses) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) 1C EBIT after tax Changes in working capital [C2] 5 359 (899) (972) Subtotal (2024 grow at 5,04% [1]) 12 464 6 997 12 111 12 721 1C Add back depreciation (plant and equipment) 12 856 12 732 14 843 15 718 15 718 Capital expenditure (2000) (5000) (12 500) (13 130) 1 Free cash flows 23 050 14 729 14 454 15 309 1C WACC: 18% 1P "Growth rate: 5,04% [1],04x1,01)-1] NPV (year 1 to 3) 46 806 1P Terminal value 71 895 (15 309) [18 - 5,04]% Disc 3yrs Value of the firm 18 701 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(savings not included)		9.868	10 967			
(Markers: Award the mark if the student debates whether tax will be payable given that the company has assessed losses) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) 1C EBIT after tax Changes in working capital [C2] 7 105 7 896 13 083 13 083 13 083 13 083 13 083 14 083 14 083 14 083 18 083	Tax on EBIT: 28%		3 000	10 307	10 17 1		
mark if the student debates whether tax will be payable given that the company has assessed losses) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) 1C EBIT after tax Changes in working capital [C2] 5 359 (899) (972) 13 083 Changes in working capital [C2] 5 359 (899) (972) 12 111 12 721 1C 12 464 6 997 12 111 12 721 1C 1 2 464 6 997 12 111 12 721 1C 1 2 464 6 997 12 111 12 721 1C 1 2 856 12 732 14 843 15 718 178 18 1 2 856 12 732 14 843 15 718 178 18 1 2 856 12 732 14 843 15 718 18 1 2 718 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1							
will be payable given that the company has assessed losses) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) 1C EBIT after tax 7 105 7 896 13 083 Changes in working capital [C2] 5 359 (899) (972) Subtotal (2024 grow at 5,04% [1]) 12 464 6 997 12 111 12 721 1C Add back depreciation (plant and equipment) 12 856 12 732 14 843 15 718 17 Capital expenditure (2000) (5000) (12 500) (13 130) 1 Free cash flows 23 050 14 729 14 454 15 309 1C WACC: 18% 1 1 1 1 *Growth rate: 5,04% [(1,04x1,01)-1] 46 806 1P 1P NPV (year 1 to 3) 46 806 1P 1P Terminal value 71 895 (15 309) [18 - 5,04]% 1 Value of the firm 118 701 1 1 Less: Value of long-term debt (9 380 + 14 340) (23 720) (23 720) 1 Plus: Value of non- 1 1							
that the company has assessed losses) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) (3 071) (5 088) (4 088) (5 088) (6 089) (972) (7 0 000) (8 099) (972) (8 099) (972) (9 072) (9 072) (9 072) (9 072) (9 072) (9 072) (9 072) (1 1 1 1 1 2 721 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	debates whether tax						
assessed losses) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) (2 763) (3 071) (5 088) (3 071) (5 088) (4 07 105							
Capital expenditure							
EBIT after tax Changes in working capital [C2] Subtotal (2024 grow at 5,04% [1]) Add back depreciation (plant and equipment) Capital expenditure Free cash flows WACC: 18% *Growth rate: 5,04% [(1,04x1,01)-1] NPV (year 1 to 3) Terminal value Table 118 701 Less: Value of the firm Less: Value of short and medium term debt (9 380 + 14 340) Plus: Value of non- EBIT after tax 7 105 7 896 13 083 (899) (972) 12 111 12 721 1C 464 6 997 12 111 12 721 1 1 12 721 1 1 12 721 1 1 12 721 1 1 12 721 1 1 1	assessed losses)		(0.700)	(0.074)	(5.000)		40
Changes in working capital [C2] 5 359 (899) (972) Subtotal (2024 grow at 5,04% [1]) 12 464 6 997 12 111 12 721 1C Add back depreciation (plant and equipment) 12 856 12 732 14 843 15 718 1 Capital expenditure (2000) (5000) (12 500) (13 130) 1 Free cash flows 23 050 14 729 14 454 15 309 1C WACC: 18% 1 1 1 1 *Growth rate: 5,04% [(1,04x1,01)-1] 1 1 1 1 NPV (year 1 to 3) 46 806 1 1 1 Terminal value 71 895 (15 309) [18 - 5,04]% 1 2 Value of the firm 118 701 1	CDIT offer tox						10
capital [C2] 5 359 (899) (972) Subtotal (2024 grow at 5,04% [1]) 12 464 6 997 12 111 12 721 1C Add back depreciation (plant and equipment) 12 856 12 732 14 843 15 718 1 Capital expenditure (2000) (5000) (12 500) (13 130) 1 Free cash flows 23 050 14 729 14 454 15 309 1C WACC: 18% 1 1 1 1 1 *Growth rate: 5,04% [(1,04x1,01)-1] 1 1 1 1 1 NPV (year 1 to 3) 46 806 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>7 105</td><td>7 896</td><td>13 083</td><td></td><td></td></t<>			7 105	7 896	13 083		
Subtotal (2024 grow at 5,04% [1]) 12 464 6 997 12 111 12 721 1C Add back depreciation (plant and equipment) 12 856 12 732 14 843 15 718 1 Capital expenditure (2000) (5000) (12 500) (13 130) 1 Free cash flows 23 050 14 729 14 454 15 309 1C WACC: 18% 1 1 1 1 *Growth rate: 5,04% [(1,04x1,01)-1] 1 1 1 1 NPV (year 1 to 3) 46 806 1 1 1 Terminal value 71 895 (15 309) [18 - 5,04]% 1 Value of the firm 118 701 1 1 Less: Value of long-term debt (9 380 + 14 340) (23 720) 1 1 Plus: Value of non- 1 1 1			5 350	(899)	(972)		
at 5,04% [1]) 12 464 6 997 12 111 12 721 1C Add back depreciation (plant and equipment) 12 856 12 732 14 843 15 718 Capital expenditure (2000) (5000) (12 500) (13 130) 1 Free cash flows 23 050 14 729 14 454 15 309 1C WACC: 18% 1 1 1 1 1 *Growth rate: 5,04% [(1,04x1,01)-1] 1			3 339	(099)	(312)		
Add back depreciation (plant and equipment) Capital expenditure (2000) Free cash flows WACC: 18% *Growth rate: 5,04% [(1,04x1,01)-1] NPV (year 1 to 3) Terminal value Terminal value Value of the firm Less: Value of long-term debt (9 380 + 14 340) Plus: Value of non- Less: Value of non- Less: Value of non- 12 856 12 732 14 843 15 718 1 (2000) (5000) (12 500) (13 130) 1 1 14 454 15 309 10 11 4 454 15 309 10 11 1 11 1 11 1 12 856 12 732 14 843 15 718 1 1 1 (2000) (13 130) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	` •		12 464	6 997	12 111	12 721	1C
(plant and equipment) 12 856 12 732 14 843 15 718 Capital expenditure (2000) (5000) (12 500) (13 130) 1 Free cash flows 23 050 14 729 14 454 15 309 1C WACC: 18% 1 1 1 1 1 *Growth rate: 5,04% [(1,04x1,01)-1] 1 1P 1P NPV (year 1 to 3) 46 806 1P 18 - 5,04]% 1 Terminal value 71 895 (15 309) [18 - 5,04]% 1 Value of the firm 118 701 1 1 Less: Value of long-term debt (9 380 + 14 340) (23 720) 1 1 Plus: Value of non- 1 1 1			1 1 1 1				1
Free cash flows 23 050 14 729 14 454 15 309 1C WACC: 18% 1 1 *Growth rate: 5,04% 1P 2 3 3 3 3 3 4 6 8 9 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 6 8 9 1 4 1 3 3 3 4 1 3 3 4 3			12 856	12 732	14 843	15 718	
WACC: 18% 1 *Growth rate: 5,04% [(1,04x1,01)-1] 1P NPV (year 1 to 3) 46 806 Terminal value 71 895 Value of the firm 118 701 Less: Value of long-term debt (9 380 + 14 340) (23 720) Plus: Value of non- 1	Capital expenditure		(2000)	(5000)	(12 500)	(13 130)	
*Growth rate: 5,04% [(1,04x1,01)-1] NPV (year 1 to 3) Terminal value 71 895 Value of the firm Less: Value of long-term debt (9 380 + 14 340) Plus: Value of non- 11 12 14 15 17 18 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 11 11			23 050	14 729	14 454	15 309	1C
[(1,04x1,01)-1] 46 806 1P NPV (year 1 to 3) 46 806 1P Terminal value 71 895 (15 309) [18 - 5,04]% 2 Value of the firm 118 701 1 1 1 Less: Value of long-term debt (9 380 + 14 340) (70 000) 1 1 1 Plus: Value of non- 1 1 1							<u> </u>
NPV (year 1 to 3) 46 806 1P Terminal value 71 895 (15 309) [18 – 5,04]% Value of the firm 118 701 1 Less: Value of long-term debt (9 380 + 14 340) (70 000) 1 Plus: Value of non- (23 720) 1	•						1P
Terminal value 71 895 (15 309) [18 - 5,04]% 2 Value of the firm 118 701		46 000					4 D
Value of the firm 118 701				(15 300)	[18 _		
Value of the firm 118 701 Less: Value of long-term debt (70 000) Less: value of short and medium term debt 1 (9 380 + 14 340) (23 720) Plus: Value of non- 1	i cillillai value	11090		(15 509)	-	Disc 3vrs	2
Less: Value of long- term debt (70 000) Less: value of short and medium term debt (9 380 + 14 340) (23 720) Plus: Value of non-	Value of the firm	118 701			2,- 1,0		
term debt (70 000) Less: value of short and medium term debt (9 380 + 14 340) (23 720) Plus: Value of non- 1							1
medium term debt (9 380 + 14 340) (23 720) 1	term debt	(70 000)					
(9 380 + 14 340) (23 720) Plus: Value of non-							1
Plus: Value of non-		(00.700)					
		(23 /20)					1
		12 000					ı

SUGGESTED SOLUTION

				Total f	or part (a)	22
					Maximum	22
_			_		Available	25
	· '			` '		
Movement		5 359	(899)	(972)		
10% of sales		11 241	12 140	13 112		1
1 - 7	16 600					2
Trade payables	(9 190)					
Tax receivable	1 770					
Cash	70					
Trade receivables	11 160					
Inventory	12 790					
Value = dividend/market related return = 460 / 0,085 = 5 412 C2: Changes in working capital					ı	
			0 085 - 5 412)		1
C1: Value of the prefe	rence share	e				
subordinated, it is equity.						
loan could be considered invested capital. If it is						
debt, the shareholder						
Although it is seen as						
Equity value	0					1P
value)	(42 393)					
remaining equity						
(130m capped at the						
Shareholders loans						1
shareholders loans	42 393					
Value of equity pre						
preference shares [C1]	5 412					
Plus: Value of						

Part	current business and financial risks facing Eurofix that may be	
	used by the directors of Chemen to influence negotiations regarding a potential increase in its existing shareholding in	Marks
	Eurofix.	Walks
	Calculations are not required.	
	norandum: Eurofix risk	
1	Board of Directors, Chemen n: An Accountant	
	: 31 July 2021	
1	The company would need to consider the following with regard to the restructuring:	
1.1	The company is clearly in distress as it does not seem probable that it will be able to settle its debts as they become due, without these changes.	1
1.2	There is a risk of liquidation if the company does not have cash to settle debts.	1
1.3	Given the solvency and liquidity issues of the company and the time it may	
	take to implement the changes, the company may need to go into negotiations with creditors about their position regarding possible liquidation proceedings. This could lead to strained relationships with creditors,	1
	creditors insisting on COD (negative CF impact) and reputational damage.	
1.4	Given that the replacement of factory workers with robots is a key element	
	in the turnaround strategy, is the senior management of the company	
	sufficiently skilled to manage highly automated processes versus the labour- intensive operations to which it is accustomed? This is especially relevant	1
	considering the low investments in research and development in the	
	company	
1.5	Refinancing risk – inability to acquire new long term/structural debt/ roll current debt at end of term	1
1.6	If the Board approves the decision to replace 200 employees with robots	
	(which is key to bringing the company to profitability), the negative effect of the staff reduction on reputation, worker morale and productivity, and the	1
	effect this may have on input costs, should be considered.	
1.7	Possible industrial action by the unions should also be considered.	1
2	Even with the restructuring, the directors would have to address the	
	following matters:	
2.1	The current manufacturing process pollutes the environment and future	4
	action of environmental groups, and even legislation that may be put in place, need to be addressed. Risks are additional costs, ruined reputation, etc.	1
2.2	The poor economic growth in South Africa (due to Covid-19 and fiscal debt) may result in the growth forecasts not being met in the short term.	1
2.3	The decreased spend on renovations for the past few years by consumers,	1
	as well as eroding margins in the industry results in sustainability risk, less revenue and lower margin will impact the viability of the company.	
2.4	If competitors become aware of the problems at Eurofix, they may make a concerted effort to get Eurofix's wholesale customers to change over to them.	1
2.5	Interest on loans is paid at market-related variable interest rates. Interest	
	rates are currently very low in South Africa and an increase in rates will put renewed pressure on the cash flow position.	1
2.6	Eurofix does not invest enough in research and development and this may result in its products becoming obsolete.	1

SUGGESTED SOLUTION

2.7	Customers may prefer shopping at competitors that provide a larger range of adhesive products, which may lead to a loss of sales.	1
2.8	The vinyl sticker market is a viable substitute product that has gained traction in the market, and could pose a threat to the revenue of the company.	1
2.9	The inability of Eurofix to implement the new checkout scanner system resulted in customer dissatisfaction, and therefore customers may move to competitors.	1
2.10	Instances of stock outs due to Eurofix's inability to utilize the big data initiative could lead to lost sales and customers.	1
2.11	Loss of experienced staff may lead to losses, given the time and costs involved in training new staff members.	1
2.12	It may be difficult to attract new staff if the premises seem unsafe because of their location.	1
	Available	19
	Maximum	10
	Communication skills – layout and structure	1
	Total for part (b)	11

Part	 (c) Make a recommendation to the board of Eurotile addressing the qualitative and quantitative factors relevant to the two options to either restructure or liquidate Eurofix, as described in section 4. Exclude considerations regarding a move to robots. Ignore all tax implications in your calculations. 	Marks
1	Restructuring of Eurofix	
1.1	If the shareholders are willing to convert their loans into equity/write off the loans, the company would need to assess whether the saving on the interest relating to the shareholders' loans will generate a sufficient profit/return to address going concern issues.	1
1.2	If the loans are written off, the tax implications would need to be considered as it may result on a waiver of debt for the company.	1
1.3	If the shareholders are unwilling to write off their loans/convert them into equity, more bridging financing may be needed in the interim, which may be expensive and lead to additional gearing.	1
1.4	Restructuring may take some time and creditors might have to be approached to provide space and time to settle accounts.	1
1.5	Will the company be able to cancel contracts and/or what about a moratorium on claims from creditors and loan providers? This could force the company into liquidation as a result.	1
1.6	Eurofix could use the profit from the sale of the non-core assets to reduce its bank overdraft and meet the bank's requirement of reducing the overdraft to R10 million.	1
1.7	Eurofix is highly leveraged, there is a risk that the bank may call facilities up following a breach of other covenants, etc., if profitability does not improve in the short run.	1
1.8	Interest saving will arise from the reduction in debt from the sale of non-core assets for R12 million which could increase profitability.	1
1.9	The estimates for 2022 may not be accurate in view of the outbreak of Covid- 19 and it may even have more implications in future.	1

SUGGESTED SOLUTION

1.10 How certain is it that the non-core assets will be sold at R12 million – has a buyer been identified?1.11 Approaching creditors for a workout arrangement might have an impact on	
	1
the reputation and ability to secure supply chain credit lines.	1
1.12 The tax effect of the transaction needs to be accounted for.	1
1.13 The utilization of the assessed tax loss may provide an additional source of saving.	1
1.14 What is the impact on employee morale and ability to retain key staff where skills shortages exist and competition remains strong?	1
2 Liquidation of Eurofix	
The restructure value should be compared to the liquidation value, which can be calculated as follows:	
R'million	
Value of PPE 98 000	1
Value of inventory (12 790 x 0,80) 10 232	1
Value of trade receivables (11 160 x 0,90) 10 044	1
Value of preference share investments 5 358	2C
Cash 70	1
Tax receivable 1 770	1
Less: Debt	
Short term (9 380)	1
Trade payables (7 352)	1
Bank overdraft (14 340)	1
Long-term loan (70 000)	1
Less: Cost of liquidation (2 509)	1C
21 893	10
2.1 75% interest in the liquidation value is R16,4 million	1
2.2 The shareholders will need to write off all of their loans (R130 million).	1
2.3 Eurotile will have to find new suppliers for adhesive. It may not be easy if	•
competitors have agreements with these suppliers.	1
2.4 The loss of the rent paid by Eurofix on Europrop and the group should be considered, although it could be recovered from the sale of the manufacturing plant. This is an additional cash flow that would need to be considered in the analysis.	
2.5 The effect on the morale of workers in the rest of the group and how the community will react should be considered.	1
2.6 Any retrenchment costs or vacation leave pay-outs, etc., that may need to be made to employees, may not currently be reflected in the financial statements.	1
2.7 It could have a reputational effect on other areas of the business.	1
2.8 The tax effect of the transaction needs to be accounted for.	1
	1
2.9 Companies act requirements and legal requirements of liquidation proceedings in terms of liquidation should be complied with.	

SUGGESTED SOLUTION

Available	36
Maximum	18
Communication skills – logical argument	1
Total for part (c)	19
TOTAL FOR PART I	52

6