Part (a 31 Dece	a) Determine Plastix's weighted average cost ember 2022.	of capital as at	Marks
Prefere	nce shares		
Market	value calculation		
Dividen	ds to be paid in 2024		
2024	dividend (12 000 x R100 x 10%)	120 000	1
Divid	lends in arrears (3 x 120 000) – FY21, FY22, FY23	360 000	1
		480 000	
Add: pe	rpetual value of future dividends (120 000 / 8%)	1 500 000	1
Total	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1 980 000	
Present	value factor (1 / 1,08) <sup>2</sup>	0,8573	1/2+1/2
Present	value of preference shares	1 697 531	1C
Alternat	tive		
Present	value of preference shares	1 697 531	1C
FV:	1 980 000		
N:	2		1/2
<i>I</i> .	8%		1/2
	0,0		72
Cost of	preference shares	8%	1
000101		070	•
Loan			
Markot	value calculation		
Market			
	762 030		1/0
	102 003		1/
IN. 1.	10 9 E%		72 1/
	0,5%		/2
			1/
Fail vai			/2
	762.020		1/ C
	762 039		<sup>7</sup> 20
IN:	б 00/		/2
	8%		/2
			1/0
Fair vai	ue (present value): 3 522 815		½C
Cost of	<b>debt:</b> $[0,08 \times (1-0,27)] = 5,84\%$		1
Equity			
Cost of			_
Levered	beta for Plastix [0,91 x ((1+(1-0,27)(0,67))]	1,35	3
1 mark	for selection of correct beta		
1 mark	for using correct debt-equity ratio		
1 mark	for correctly levering with own inputs		
Risk free rate 8,69%		1	
Cost of	equity [0,0869 + 1,35(0,07)]	18,14%	1C
Alternat	tive 1		
Market	value of equity		
EBITDA	<ul> <li>Gross profit – operating cost)</li> </ul>	3 293 000	1
Alternat	tive: (Operating profit + depreciation)		

## ITC JUNE 2023 PAPER 3 QUESTION 1

Part (a) Determine Plastix's weighted	l average cost	of capital as at	Marke
31 December 2022.			iviai K5
EBITDA multiple		5,3	1
Plastic manufacturers closely aligned to Plas	stix		
Discussion of relevant adjustment to EBI	TDA multiple with		1P
direction of impact (e.g. unlisted status (-), E	SG focus (+), etc.)		
Enterprise value		17 452 900	
Less: Market value of debt		(3 522 812)	½C
Less: Market value of preference shares		(1 697 531)	½C
Value of equity		12 232 557	
Weighted average cost of capital calculat	ion		_
Determine weights based on market value			1C
Equity	12 232 557	70,09%	
Preference shares	1 697 531	9,73%	
Loan	3 522 812	20,18%	
	17 452 900		
	00 (00)	11.070	10
(18,14% x 70,09% + 8% x 9,73% + 5,84% x	20,18%)	14,27%	1C
Alternative 2			
Alternative 2			20
Weighted average cost of debt	0.500.040	07 400/	20
Market value of preference shores	3 522 812	07,48%	
Market value of preference shares	F 000 040	32,52%	
Draforance charge given as debt financing	5 220 343		
Preference shares given as dept linaricing			
Waighted average east of debt			
$(67.49\% \times 9\% \times 32.52\% \times 5.94\%)$		7 200/	20
$(07,4070 \times 070 + 32,3270 \times 3,0470)$		7,3076	20
WACC (7 30% x 40% + 18 14% x 60%)			
1 mark for weighting based on debt ratio			
1 mark for calculating the WACC		13.80%	1+1C
		,	
		Available	22
		Maximum	22
		Total for part (a)	22

## ITC JUNE 2023 PAPER 3 QUESTION 1

Part	(b) Criticise the net present value analysis performed by the financial management team of Plastix.	Marks
1	There is <b>no adjustment for a potential increase in sales prices</b> to account for inflation or general price increases, only the increase in sales volume has been adjusted for.	1
	Furthermore, the <b>NPV does not seem to incorporate the impact of lost</b> <b>sales (opportunity costs)</b> from the existing bottles which will arise due to the shift to bioplastic bottles (and whether the 10% volume increase is due to the shift bottles)	
	to this). The <b>uniform 10% increase in sales volumes</b> might not be reasonable	1
	unless the sales are contracted.	1
2	<b>No adjustment was made for inflation</b> on the cost of sales and operating costs (or operating profit), both of which are likely to be subject to inflationary pressures as input costs.	1
3	The factory manager is already in the employ of Plastix. This cost is therefore <b>not an incremental cost</b> and should not be included.	1
4	The provision for working capital should only be for the <b>movement in working capital</b> . By including it in the operating profit calculation and adjusting with 10% annually, the full working capital amount is included every year.	1
5	Also, the provision for working capital should be <b>included at the beginning</b> of the project. Currently it is included at the end of the first year.	1
6	Working capital recovered at the end of the project was not included in the calculation – this should be included as a recovery in the final year of the forecast period, with an adjustment when determining the terminal value to avoid overstating the value.	1
7	It does not seem accurate that the operating profit increases with the 10% annual increase in sales units. This would imply that <b>all costs are variable in nature</b> , which will probably not be the case (e.g. employee salaries will not vary with production volumes).	1
	There could be <b>additional incremental costs</b> that are currently not fully incorporated (e.g., higher electricity costs).	1
	It is unreasonable to assume that the costs would increase at the same rate, e.g. the electricity increases has been growing at significantly higher rates OR the constant increase of 10% does not consider the different components of the costs and/or their specific growth rate.	1
8	Even though the cost of the research still has to be settled, the cost has been incurred and is regarded as sunk cost OR the payment of the balance of the research cost has already been committed. The cost does	
9	Loan repayments should not be included in the investment decision because this is financing, and the cost of finance is already included in the WACC. By including this, the cost of debt is double counted	1
10	The <b>benefit of cheaper supplier finance</b> , being present value of the difference between the loan finance cost at normal cost of debt and the loan of the appealed rate, was <b>emitted from the NDV</b> activitation.	
11	Taxation was ignored completely and should be taken into account	
	because Plastix is a tax-paying entity.	1
12	The basis of the hurdle rate of 15% is not substantiated and is not aligned to the WACC calculated in part (a)	1

Part	(b) Criticise the net present value analysis performed by the financial management team of Plastix.	Marks
	The addition of 2% to account for the risk of the project is <b>arbitrary and should be explained/justified</b> .	1
13	The initial cost of the machine has not been taken into account.	1
14	The scrap value from the machine that will be replaced now might have been omitted in the NPV calculation if there are expected proceeds.	1
15	A terminal value should not have been added unless the machine will be consistently replaced, and the relevant cost incorporated. The machine will not be used into perpetuity. Alternative: The machine is said to have a useful life of 5 years and therefore the estimation of a terminal value instead of the resale value at the end of the five year period is inappropriate.	1
16	Furthermore, the use of an 8% growth rate in perpetuity seems aggressive especially considering the <b>recent low growth environment in SA</b> .	1
17	The <b>discounting of the cash flows has been incorrectly undertaken from</b> <b>2023</b> instead of immediately. These cash flows only occur at the end of the first year.	1
18	<b>No sensitivity or scenario analysis</b> has been performed – which would help inform a view of critical assumptions that drive the valuation.	1
	Available	23
	Maximum	14
	Communication skills – appropriate style	1
	Total for part (b)	15

Part	(c) Describe the key risks and considerations that Plastix would face if acquired by Suntory.	Marks
	Kev risks	
1	The acquisition <b>could result in retrenchments of Plastix's staff</b> to optimize operations, leading to <b>low morale of the remaining employees</b> or even possible retaliation from labour unions.	2
2	As majority of shares will be <b>owned by a foreign company</b> , there could be <b>customers that no longer wish to trade with Plastix</b> and look for companies that are locally owned and contribute to the development of the local economy (loss of customer goodwill) no longer.	2
3	Plastix management should consider shareholder related risks such as <b>valuation risks, financing risks, currency risks</b> on the purchase considerations, <b>deal-execution</b> and the <b>non-controlling</b> shareholding post the acquisition, to ensure that continued shareholder support during and post the transaction.	2
4	There could be a <b>clash of corporate cultures</b> or communication issues given the cultural differences (e.g. language and time zone differences) between a Chinese and South African company.	2
5	These corporate culture clashes and <b>other integration issues</b> could lead to the <b>loss of key staff</b> (young entrepreneurs who started the company) who might feel uncomfortable or threatened by the acquisition, with the potential for retrenchments post acquisition.	2
6	<b>Differences in salary scales</b> could cause further <b>unhappiness among staff</b> members. These need to be considered especially if Suntory is likely to bring in expatriate staff at more attractive packages than local staff.	2
7	Suntory might have a <b>lack of understanding of the business environment</b> <b>in South Africa</b> , causing them to make sub-optimal business decisions.	2
8	<b>Differences in the legal structures</b> of the two countries could lead to legal and operational issues. (e.g. what would the impact of the acquisition by Suntory be on the BEE rating of Plastix?)	2
9	<b>Integration of activities</b> between two countries is complex and may result in delays which could lead to disruption of operations (management distraction).	2
10	Suntory is likely to make operational changes to achieve synergies leading to workforce reduction (retrenchments) because of robotization / automation of operations and import of Chinese nationals to manage / work at Plastix reducing employment of locals in the company.	2
11	Suntory is a beverage conglomerate and might want to control Plastix so that Suntory can set a <b>low transfer price</b> on the sale of bottles from Plastix to Suntory. This would result in lower profit for Plastix.	2
12	The acquisition could result in <b>increased activity</b> between Plastix, Suntory or any of its subsidiaries resulting in <b>currency risk exposure for Plastix</b> .	2
13	Being owned by a Chinese company, it could introduce geopolitical risks that Plastix was not exposed to as a South African owned company.	2
	Considerations:	
14	It needs to be considered whether Suntory is a <b>strategic fit / partner for</b> <b>Plastix</b> . Their value-add regarding resourcing, skills, expertise, funding etc. would be a key consideration.	2
15	Plastix may now have <b>access to more finance</b> which may allow for expansion opportunities.	2
16	<b>Synergistic benefits</b> offered by the new company may allow Plastics to reduce its operating costs as the new company.	2
17	Suntory's international exposure could offer significant growth opportunities for Plastix through international expansion.	2
	Available	<b>U</b> T

Maximum	12
Communication skills – clarity of expression	1
Total for part (c)	13
TOTAL FOR THE QUESTION	50