

Part (a) Discuss the normal tax consequences for Boitumelo for her 2021 year of assessment of – (i) the sign-on incentive from KicksZA;		Marks
1.1	The R1 500 000 (R1 200 000) sign-on incentive provided to Boitumelo would have been included in gross income at the earlier of receipt or accrual	1
1.2	in terms of para. (c) (services rendered or employment) in the 2019 year of assessment.	1
1.3	There is no indication that 20% forfeited amount of R300 000 was not received by Boitumelo for her own benefit NOR Alt. The R300 000 was not received in the 2019 year of assessment NOR	1
1.4	that she was not unconditionally entitled as the dismissal is not a condition attached to the receipt of the amount in 2019 year of assessment. Alt. Boitemelo was not unconditional entitled to the R300 000 as its potentially refundable due to a resolutive condition (Mooi case).	1
1.5	As the R300 000 was received and through forfeit is now being refunded (a separate event <i>itō Pyott</i>), Boitumelo would be entitled to a deduction in terms of s11(nA) as its connected to her employment or the rendering of a service in the 2021 year of assessment or Alt. Boitemelo is not entitled to a deduction in terms of s 11(nA) as the R300 000 was not included in her taxable income in 2019 .	1
1.6	Section 23(m) explicitly allows the section 11(nA) deduction. Bonus	1B
Available		6
Maximum		5
Total for part (a)(i)		5

Part (a) Discuss the normal tax consequences for Boitumelo for her 2021 year of assessment of – (ii) the forfeitable share awards she received from OTL ; and		Marks
1	As the forfeitable shares were acquired by virtue of her employment , s8C could apply (its excluded from the ambit of the Seventh Schedule).	1
1.1	These shares would qualify as s8C equity instruments as defined as none of the exemptions of this section apply.	1
1.2	In terms of section 8C, Boitumelo would have a gross income inclusion for 30 000 x R117,32 = R3 519 600 , relating to the shares awarded to her on <u>1 May 2020</u> .	1
1.3	In terms of s 8C(7) the R3 519 600 is a restricted equity instrument at the date of grant (1 May 2020) as Boitumelo will not be able to freely dispose of the shares until it is transferred into her name and will be exempt from normal tax in terms of s10(1)(nD) .	1
2	On <u>31 December 2020</u> when the equity instrument vests, a gain (s 8C(1)(a)) arises that should be included in Boitumelo's income at the market value (s 8C(2)) on vesting date: 30 000 x (R129,74 - 0) = R3 892 200.	1
3	In terms of para. 20(1)(h) of the 8 th Schedule (CGT) the base cost of the equity instrument acquired in terms of s8C is equal to market value that was taken into account, of R3 892 200. Bonus	1B
Available		8
Maximum		5
Total for part (a)(ii)		5

Deleted: 1

Part (a) Discuss the normal tax consequences for Boitumelo for her 2021 year of assessment of – (iii) the Atlantic seaboard property, with supporting calculations.		Marks	
1.	Normal tax consequences for the 2021 year of assessment		
	Atlantic seaboard property	28 February 2021	
		R	
	Rentals from Lesedi (R7 000 x 7)	49 000	1
	Short-term rental unit	60 000	1
	Other tax deductible expenditure	(110 000)	1
	Coffee machine (s11(e)) (R13 999 x 1/6 x 11/12) – based on value	(2 139)	1
	Loss	(3 139)	
2.	The dividend <i>in specie</i> (Ukuthula) is exempt in terms of s 10(1)(k) has a zero effect on the trade of rental properties.		1
3.	As a loss is made by a natural person, the issue is whether the loss from the rental must be ring-fenced in terms of s 20A. It is clear from the scenario that Boitumelo's taxable income (for example part (a)(ii) above) is equal to or exceeds the amount at which the maximum marginal rate applies , (R1 577 301), and		1
4.	the residential letting of the Atlantic seaboard property is a listed suspect trade , as 100% of the residential accommodation is used by Lesedi (a relative s 20A(10)) for seven months (more than half) of the year of assessment		1
5.	The tax loss will be ring-fenced for current year (s 20A(2)(b)), and can only be set off in future against income from this trade.		1
	Available		9
	Maximum		7
	Total for part (a)(iii)		7
	Total for part (a)		17

Part (b) Calculate Boitumelo's taxable income for her 2021 year of assessment, excluding the information in section 4.		Marks
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly indicate all items that have a nil effect and provide a brief reason for each of these items. 		
	Taxable Income R	
OTL salary (para. (c) gross Income) (R2 000 000/12 x 10 or R166 667 x 10)	1 666 667	1
Fringe benefit: Provident fund (par. 2(l) and 12D) R19 167 x 10	191 670	1
<u>Allowance for fibre</u> (s8(1)) R1 497 x 10 <i>Alt: If candidate discussed the employer instructs Boitumelo to incur cost relating to an uncapped fiber line and produced proof, s 8(1)(a)(ii)(bb) – nil value</i>	14 970	1
Fringe Benefit (para. 2(a)): Desktop monitor: No fringe benefit arises as the consideration paid by Boitumelo exceeds the cost price of R4 000 – nil value		1
S8C Gain on vesting on the forfeitable share award at end of OTL's financial year. from part (a)(ii) Remuneration	3 892 200 5 765 507	1P
Desktop monitor used in home office (s11(e) read with s23(m) - less than R7 000 , so fully deductible) Or R5 999	(6 000)	1
Home office expenditure (s11(a) read with s23(b) and s23(m)) <i>Alt: if apportioned 10/11 * R32 000 = R29 090</i>	(32 000)	1
Repayment of sign-on incentive (s11(nA)) from part (a)(i)	(300 000)	1P
Taxable income before s11F	5 427 507	
Provident fund contributions (s11F) – same amount from fringe benefit R191 670		
Limited to the lesser of the following <u>three</u> options:		1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> R350 000, or 27,5% of the higher of the remuneration (R5 765 507) or taxable income (R5 427 507), thus R5 765 507 X R27.5% = R1 585 514 or the taxable income and any taxable capital gain = R5427 507 		1
Thus: R1 585 514 limited to	(191 670)	1P
Taxable Income after s11F before Atlantic seaboard property	5 235 837	
	Available	11
	Maximum	11
	<i>Communication skills – layout and structure</i>	1
	Total for part (b)	12

Part (c) Advise Lesedi which of the two transport arrangement options available to her as part of her employment package at Ukuthula would result in her having the highest after-tax cash flows for her 2021 year of assessment.				Marks
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Base your answer on cash flows after the tax return has been submitted by the taxpayer and any appropriate refunds received from the South African Revenue Service. 				
		Right of use of motor vehicle	Travel allowance	
		R	R	
Option 1 Right of use of company car				
Annual value of usage (para. 7(4))				
Lease payments (R8 000 x 7)		56 000		1
Fuel costs (R1 500 x 7)		10 500		1
		66 500		
Less business travel proportion (para. 7(7)) (R66 500 x 12 000/30 000)		(26 600)		1
		39 900		
Option 2 Own motor vehicle				
Travel allowance (12 500 x 7)			87 500	1
Deemed cost per table:				
S8(1)(b)(ii) Deemed expenditure and actual km				
Fixed cost (102 211/30 000 x 212/365)	197,88			1
Fuel cost	138,0			1
Maintenance cost				
Cannot be claimed as the vehicle was the subject of a maintenance plan.	0			1
	335,88	or		
Actual cost (s8(1)(b)(iiiA)) (R6 500 x 7 = 45 500/30 000)	151,66			1
Reduction is based on the highest of deemed cost or actual cost, thus deemed cost:				
Travel allowance reduction (335,88/100 x 12 000km)			(40 306)	1P
Annual taxable value		39 900	47 195	
Highest marginal tax rate		45%	45%	1
Normal tax		(17 955)	(21 238)	
Cash flows		<u>Option 1</u>	<u>Option 2</u>	
Cash received on travel allowance			87 500	1
Total repayments for the year for both options (R6 500 x 12 / 7mths)		(78 000)	(78 000)	1
Deduct: tax costs		(17 955)	(21 238)	1P
Total after-tax cash flows for the year of assessment		(95 955)	(12 238)	
Conclusion: The travel allowance option should be favoured over the company car option.				1C
Furthermore in making this decision consideration must also be given to additional costs and cash outflow attached to owning your own vehicle such as fuel, insurance and maintenance.				1
		Available	16	
		Maximum	13	
		<i>Communication skills – logical argument</i>	<i>1</i>	
		Total for part (c)	14	

Part (d) Discuss whether Lajuba land sales would constitute gross income for Origins during the 2021 year of assessment. • Ignore VAT.		Marks
1	The gross income effects on the land sales made by Origins would be dependent on whether the sales are of a revenue or capital nature .	1
1.1	In terms of s102 of the Tax Administration Act the taxpayer bears the burden of proving that an amount is not included into gross income.	1
	Sale of the 100ha Lajuba land – R13 million	
	Intention	
2	The intention with which the taxpayer (Boitemelo) acquires the asset is a critical consideration. If the intention is to acquire as a capital asset, then it will be a capital receipt. If the intention is to embark on a scheme of profit making, then revenue receipt	1
3	Origins was formed as a realisation company . The court has held the realisation company would not be considered to be embarking on a scheme of profit making if its dominant intention was to dispose of the capital asset of its previous owners in a practical and effective manner or Boitumelo, as the sole owner of the Lajuba land, could have facilitated the sale of the land without a realisation company and Boitemelo remains the sole owner of Origins.	1
4	As s42 allows that a capital asset may be traded for a capital asset or trading stock, that there was no change of intention of the 100 ha of undeveloped land disposed in September 2020 or Furthermore, it could be argued that Origins acquired the land with the intention of reselling it to GOAT , making it trading stock as defined from the perspective of Origins.	1
5.	The sale of the 100HA is capital in nature and subject to CGT or There was no need for the sale to have been facilitated through a realisation company, the amount of R13 million relating to the 100ha would be considered revenue in nature and included in its gross income for the year of assessment ending 30 June 2021.	1C
	Development of the additional 50 ha Lajuba land – R12 million	
6	By entering into a contractual arrangement to develop the additional 50 ha of Lajuba land into a mixed-use residential and commercial development and incurring development costs of R2 million, Origins has embarked on a scheme of profit making due to the extent its operations or crossed the Rubicon .	1
		1
7	Therefore, the land sales of R12 million conducted by Origins would be considered revenue in nature and included in its gross income for the year of assessment ending 30 June 2021.	1C
	Available	9
	Maximum	7
	Total for part (d)	7
	TOTAL FOR QUESTION 2	50