Part (a) Calculate the accrual claim and state why	the claim	belongs to	Marks
Grace.	ı	T _	
Accrual claim		Amount	
		R	
Accrual in Itumeleng's estate		9 800 000	
Accrual in Grace's estate		50 000	
Difference in the accruals		9 750 000	1
Accrual claim (50%)		4 875 000	1
Alt: (R9,8 mil plus R50 000) / 2 = R4 925 000 - R50 000 = R4 875 000			
Because Grace's accrual is smaller , she has an accrual claim against Itumeleng			1
Alt: As the accrual claim belongs to Grace (as given in the required) this claim needs to be added/included as deemed property in Grace's deceased			
estate's estate duty calculation in terms of section 3(3) Act.	(cA) of the	Estate Duty	
		Available	3
		Maximum	3
	Tota	I for part (a)	3

Part (b) Discuss the estate duty implications (including liability for payment) of the life policy taken out by Grace on Itumeleng's life, in the event of his death.	Marks
1	The life policy would constitute a domestic policy, and as it is upon a deceased person's life (Itumeleng). Grace, as the owner of the policy, will	
	be able to recover an amount in terms of the policy issued in the Republic of South Africa.	1
2	The amount due and recoverable by Grace in terms of the policy will be	
	deemed to be property of Itumeleng for estate duty purposes in terms of s3(3)(a)).	1
3	None of the exclusion provisos to s3(3)(a) applies, specifically:	
	Although the policy was not taken out by Itumeleng and no premium was borne by him, the amount due will be paid to Grace who is wholly or partly dependent on Itumeleng, for maintenance) (proviso (ii) to	1
	s3(3)(a)). The exclusion therefore does not apply.	
3.2	The value of the proceeds will be the amount of the proceeds less/reduced by the sum of the premiums paid by Grace plus interest at 6% per annum on such premiums paid	1
4	Because the policy proceeds do not accrue to a surviving spouse (Grace is no longer a spouse), it will not qualify for a s4(q) deduction .	1
5	In the case of a domestic policy of insurance on the life of the deceased person, the proceeds of which are paid to a person other than the executor, Grace is entitled to the proceeds becomes liable for payment of the attributable estate duty (s11(b)(i)).	1
6	The executor, in terms of s13, has the right to recover any estate duty attributable to this amount from Grace.	1
	Available	7
	Maximum	4
	Communication skills – clarity of expression	1
	Total for part (b)	5

Part (c) Calculate the normal tax payable (if any) by Itumeleng and Grace in respect of the lump sums awarded to Grace in terms of section 37D(4)(b)(ii) of the Pension Funds Act from Itumeleng's ABASA Pension Fund.			Marks
Tax payable by Itumeleng	R	R	
There is no tax payable by Itumeleng because the non-member spouse who receives the amount after the reduction of the minimum individual reserve of the member spouse must be taxed in terms of para. 2(1)(b)(iA) of the Second Schedule.		Nil	1
Tax payable by Grace Lump sum amount in terms of a divorce order (retirement fund lump sum withdrawal benefit (para. 2(1)(b)(iA) of the Second Schedule) (R2 200 000/2 = R1 100 000)	1 100 000		1
Less: Para. 6(1)(a) – Second Schedule: Deductible transfer from a pension fund to a pension preservation fund	(850 000)		1
Less: Itumeleng's previous contributions of R50 000 to the ABASA Pension Fund not allowed as a deduction – not deductible in terms of para. 6(1)(a) of the Second Schedule	(0)		1
Gross income (par (e)) - Retirement fund lump sum withdrawal benefit	250 000		
Add: Previous lump sums (R120 000 + R150 000)	270 000 520 000		2
Tax thereon per withdrawal lump sum table (R520 000 less R25 000) x 18%		89 100	1P
All lump sums received excluding current lump sum (R120 000 + R150 000) Tax thereon per withdrawal lump sum table	270 000		
(R270 000 less R25 000) x 18%		(44 100)	1P
Tax payable by Grace on lump sum received		45 000	
Available			8
Maximum			8
Total for part (c)			8

Part (d) Calculate the effects of the divorce agreement (only in respect of notes 2.1 and 2.2, and sections 3 and 5 of the scenario) on the taxable income of Itumeleng for his 2023 year of assessment.		Marks
Provide reasons for nil effects. Maintenance payments and legal costs		
Maintenance payments and Legal costs		
 2.1, Maintenance, medical aid contributions and legal costs are not deductible in terms of s11(a) or s11(c) because it is a 		
and 5 domestic or private expense and is not allowed as a deduction from income in terms of s23(a)/(b) .	nil	1
3.1 Accrual claim		•
s9HB(2)(b) applies to all assets transferred to Grace in terms of the divorce order (rolled over):		
Share portfolio (Itumeleng is a share dealer) Opening stock s 22(2) @ cost		
(200*R180 + 160*R200 + 140*R140 + 4 000*R40)	(247 600)	2
Astra shares		
Deemed disposed of at the amount previously allowed as deduction (s9HB(3)) – include in gross income, the amount claimed as opening stock		
(200 * R180) + (160 * R200) + (140 * R140)	87 600	1P
Bailey shares Held for at least three years – proceeds deemed to be capital in nature in terms of s9C		
Section 9C(5) recoupment (4 000 * R40)	160 000	1
Proceeds R0	100 000	-
Base Cost (R160 000)		
Capital loss (R160 000)		1
3.2 Private residence Proceeds R0		
Base Cost (R2 594 000)		
Capital loss (R2 594 000)		1
The capital loss on disposals of Bailey Shares and Private		
Residence to Grace must be disregarded in terms of s9HB(1)		1
and base cost is rolled over to Grace.		
3.3 Accrual amount in cash (no roll-over as not an asset in terms of the Eighth Schedule – no capital gain) or the expense is not		
incurred in carrying on a trade and therefore no s11(a)	nil	1
deduction.		
Net effect on taxable income	Rnil	
	Available	8
T-/-1	Maximum	7
lotal	for part (d)	7

Part (a) Calculate the normal tax nevable by	or refundable to Cross for	tho
Part (e) Calculate the normal tax payable by or refundable to Grace for the 2023 year of assessment.		
2023 year or assessment.		
 Provide reasons for nil effects. 		
Where an amount is fully exemption.	t, include a reference to the	
legislation for both the gross income inclusion and the		
subsequent exemption.		
Exclude all amounts calculated	in part (d).	
Tax payable by Grace		
Maintenance allowances		
Maintenance para. (b) of gross income definitio	n	0.5
Gross income Grace's maintenance of R15 000) per	
month x 6 months), Lerato's maintenance of R	5 000 per	
month x 6 months) and Medical aid contribution		
Grace as part of maintenance required by divo	ce	
agreement of R3 000 per month x 6 months	400.000	0.5
R90 000 + R30 000 + R18 000	138 000	0.5
Less: S10(1)(u) : Exemption for maintenance paid by former spo	uso from	0.5
after-taxed income	(138 000) nil	0.5P
Accrual claim	(130 000)	0.5F
s9HB(2)(b) applies to all assets share portfoli	o and	0.5
private residence transferred to Grace in terr		0.0
divorce order (rolled over) and deemed to be		
@ Itumeleng's base cost.	-	
3.1 Share portfolio: Astra shares deemed acqu		
amount previously allowed as a deduction for I	tumeleng	
(s9HB(4)) .		
Thus doduct ananing stock (c22(2)) @ cost)		
Thus deduct opening stock (s22(2)) @ cost) (200*R180 + 160*R200 + 140*R140)	(87 6	00) 0.5P
4. Pension interest inclusion in terms of para (e) o	``	0.01
income definition	250 0	000 1
Not subject to normal tax tables but subject to v		-
lump sum tables	(250 (000) 1P
Proceeds from disposal of share portfolio		·
Sale of Astra shares – include in gross income (5	,	000 1
(although Grace is not a share dealer, it is deemed		
of s9HB that she used the shares in the same way	as	
Itumeleng)	205.0	000 4
Commission earned as estate agent (gross incor	ne) 225 (000 1
Less: General deductions		
Lerato's after-school care Not deductible as it is not incurred in carrying of	on the	1 1
trade of an estate agent. It is therefore not deduce		
terms of s11(a). Furthermore, because it is a doi		
private expense it is also not allowed as a dedu		
income in terms of s23(b) or maintenance cost of		
terms of s23(a).	-	
Legal costs		1
Not deductible as it is not incurred in carrying		
trade of an estate agent. It is therefore not deduce		
terms of s11(c). Furthermore, because it is a dor	nestic or	

TOTAL FOR THE QUESTION			48
Total for part (e)			25
Communication skills – layout and structure; logical argument			2
Maximum			23
Available			23
Normal tax refundable to Grace		(4 410)	
Less: Employees' tax (R40 000 + R42 500)		78 090 (82 500)	2
25% of R9 795		(2 449)	1P
Less: 7.5% x taxable income of R269 400 (20 205)	(20 205) 9 795		1P
Less s 6B credit Qualifying medical expenditure	30 000		1
No s6A credit as the contributions are paid by Itumeleng and not Grace			1
Normal tax per withdrawal lump sum table (part (c))		45 000	1P
Less: Primary rebate	(16 425)	35 539	1
Normal tax on progressive rate table Per table (R40 680 + 26% (R269 400 – R226 000))	51 964		1P
Included @ 40% in taxable income Taxable income		32 000 269 400	1P
Less: Annual exclusion Net capital gain	(40 000) 80 000		1
Capital gain	120 000		
Less: Base cost (4 000 x R40 per share) (deemed to have been acquired at same cost as Itumeleng in terms of s9HB(1)(b)(ii)).	(160 000)		IF
Capital gains tax Sale of Bailey shares Proceeds (4 000 x R70 per share)	280 000 (160 000)		1 1P
Not deductible as it is not incurred in carrying on the trade of an estate agent. It is therefore not deductible in terms of s11(w). Furthermore, because it is a domestic or private expense it is also not allowed as a deduction from income in terms of s23(b) or maintenance cost of family in terms of s23(a).			
Premiums on life policy			1
private expense it is also not allowed as a deduction from income in terms of s23(b) or maintenance cost of family in terms of s23(a).			