Source Finding (ISQM 1, par. A157) (ISQM 1, par. 16h) What is the source of information from where the finding was identified	Assessment of whether the finding leads to a deficiency (ISQM 1, par A159–A162) Professional judgement applied in determining whether findings, individually or in combination with other findings, give rise to a deficiency in the SoQM.	Conclusion on whether it is a deficiency (ISQM 1, par A159–A162)	Root cause(s) (ISQM 1, par 41a) Understand why the deficiency has occurred	Remedial action plans (ISQM 1, par. 42) What actions are planned to be taken to ensure that the finding does not re-occur	Who is repsonsible Individual responsible to implement the remedial action identified	When/ deadline Timing of when the actions planned should be implemented	How will the effectiveness of the remedial actions be monitored? (ISQM 1, par. 44) What monitoring will be performed to validate that the action/s taken is effective (i.e the outcome is that there are no further issues)	Actions considered to be effective Yes/ No If no, additional actions are required or existing actions should be modified
Engagement documentation is not assembled on a timely basis after the signing of the report. Inspection of completed engagements as required by ISQM 1 par 38	Consider: The <u>nature</u> of the finding Whether there is an indication of a <u>trend</u> or <u>systemic</u> issue The <u>extent</u> of the finding <u>in relation to the population</u> being monitored If the finding relates to a risk response: <u>The impact of the</u> <u>responses on the quality risk(s)</u> , with consideration of other responses that address the same risk For the purpose of this example, we will not delve into the details.	Yes - concluded to be a deficiency for purposes of this example	SLIDO 2: 1. Engagement teams do not understand the risk and quality implications of not assembling the final and tift las assembling the final and tift las assembling the final and tift las 1. Lack of discription to have the audit file 100% complete at the report signing date. including administrative mattern	the firm; that engagement leaders do not sign an opinion if the file is not fully completed. If based on monitoring	Left out for the purpose of this example	Left out for the purpose of this example	SLIDO 4: A sample of completed engagements will be selected, from all engagements signed after the actions were implemented, to validate whether the assembly of the final audit file was completed within the time/ram ess out in the firm's policy. (Note that there are also some software programmes available for purchase that can monitor compliance.)	we will not delve into further
Personal independence non-compliance Ongoing and Periodic Monitoring as required by ISQM 1 par 38	Consider: The nature of the finding The state of the finding the systemic issue The extent of the finding in relation to the population being monitored If the finding relates to a risk response: The impact of the response on the quality risk(s), with consideration of other responses that address the same risk For the purpose of this example, we will not delve into the details.	Yes - concluded to be a deficiency for purposes of this example	SLIDO 5: 1. There is a behavioural issue managers and staff do not understand the importance of updating the independence tool and see this as an administrative task. 2. Spouses independence is not included and updated.	 Independence screening will be extended to cover all externally hired managers before joining the firm. All staff before promotion to manager, undergo screening that will cover 	Left out for the purpose of this example		SLIDO 7: 1. Inspect communication from leadership to ensure the included. 2. A sample of evidence will be selected that these discussions were held with managers and above. 3. A sample of evidence will be selected for independence screening for both personal and spousal for externally hired managers and new managers to ensure compliance is met.	Yes (assumed for purposes of this example) For the purpose of this example, we will not delve into further details
The presumption of fraud in revenue recognition when assessing ROMM was not rebutted.	Consider: The <u>nature</u> of the finding The Whether there is an indication of a <u>trend</u> or <u>systemic</u> issue The <u>extent</u> of the finding <u>in relation to the population</u> being monitored If the finding relates to a risk response: <u>The impact of the</u> <u>responses on the quality risk(s)</u> , with consideration of other responses that address the same risk For the purpose of this example, we will not debe into the details.	Yes - concluded to be a deficiency for purposes of this example		SLIDO 9: 1. ISA 240 related training. 2. Communications to audit teams relating to the requirements of ISA 240. 3. Monitoring of training attendance.	Left out for the purpose of this example	Left out for the purpose of this example	SLIDO 10: 1. All members of audit team attended the ISA 240 training. 2. Subsequent reviews of engagement files. 3. Verification that communications related to ISA 240 requirements were sent to audit staff.	Yes (assumed for purposes of this example) For the purpose of this example, we will not delve into further details